Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/725,454	NAKANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Apu M Mofiz	2165
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Carl I. Brundidge</u> .	(3)	
(2) Apu M Mofiz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2005</u> .	·	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>2-6 and 8-12</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The Applicant and the Expreamble of all the independent claims to overcome possible</u>	aminer agreed to add the	I to if an agreement was "computer-implemented" in the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments tha	agreed would render the claims at would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant control of the c	last Office action has alreat THE MAILING DATE OF T OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	ady been filed, APPLICANT IS FHIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
		·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's s	ignature, if required